

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/759,225	BARI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Christopher A. Revak.

(3) _____.

(2) Mary Jane Boswell.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 June 2006

Time: 3:00pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112 & 102(e)

Claims discussed:

dependents

Prior art documents discussed:

Nielsen

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature) 6/1/06

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner had completed an updated search based upon the applicant's arguments, however part of the arguments were not addressed in the claims. The examiner had also identified a 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph issue with the claims and had contacted the applicant's representative in an attempt to compact prosecution. The applicant's representative had agreed to an examiner's amendment in order to expedite the case..